

Finite model theory
Problems 7
Tuesday 30.10.2018

1. Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be recognized by a finite automaton. Show that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $s \in \Sigma^*$ with $|s| \geq m$, s can be written as uvw , where $u, v, w \in \Sigma^*$, $v \neq \lambda$, $|uv| \leq m$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$uvw \in L \Leftrightarrow uv^k w \in L.$$

2. Let Σ have at least two letters. Show that the language L consisting of all palindromes w over Σ cannot be recognized by a finite automaton. Recall that a word $w = \alpha_0 \dots \alpha_n$ is a palindrome if $\alpha_i = \alpha_{n-i}$ for all i .
3. Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, and $L = \{w \in \Sigma^+ \mid w \text{ has more occurrences of } a \text{ than } b\}$. Show that L cannot be recognized by a finite automaton.
4. Construct a sentence $\varphi \in \text{MSO}$ such that for all finite graphs \mathbb{G} :

$$\mathbb{G} \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{G} \text{ is 3-colorable.}$$

(The class of 3-colorable graphs is not known to be in PTIME.)

5. Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^+$ be definable in MSO. Show that L is in PTIME.
6. Let $\tau = \{P_1, \dots, P_l\}$, be a unary vocabulary, and let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be τ -models such that $\mathfrak{A} \cong_{2^k} \mathfrak{B}$. Sketch a proof using the EF-game for MSO that $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_k^{\text{MSO}} \mathfrak{B}$. Use this result to show that for every $\varphi \in \text{MSO}[\tau]$ there is $\varphi^* \in \text{FO}[\tau]$ such that for all finite τ -models \mathfrak{A} :

$$\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi^*.$$