

Lem 1.5(B) $K \subseteq F$, $H < J < \text{Aut}_K F$.

If $[J:H] < \infty$, then $[H':J'] \leq [J:H]$.

Rmk: P is quite difficult.

Illustrate the result (not pf) by an ex.

char=0, $[F:K] < \infty$, $H=1$, $J = \text{Aut}_K F \Rightarrow H'=F$.

want: $[F:F^{\text{Aut}_K F}] \leq |\text{Aut}_K F|$

Let $F = K(u)$, $f(T) = \text{Irr}(u, K)$.

$\Rightarrow |\text{Aut}_K F| = \#\{\text{roots of } f(T) \text{ in } F\}$

Suppose $f(T) = \prod_{i=1}^d (T-u_i) \in \bar{K}[T]$, with $u_i, u_d \in F$.

$\Rightarrow |\text{Aut}_K F| = d$.

Since $F^{\text{Aut}_K F} \supseteq K$, $\Rightarrow F = F^{\text{Aut}_K F}(u)$.

Claim: $\text{Irr}(u, F^{\text{Aut}_K F}) \mid \prod_{i=1}^d (T-u_i)$ (note $F^{\text{Aut}_K F} = K$)

Pf: It suffices if $\prod_{i=1}^d (T-u_i) \in F^{\text{Aut}_K F}[T]$.

$\Leftarrow \text{Aut}_K F$ permutes u_i . \square .

Thus, $[F:F^{\text{Aut}_K F}] = \deg \text{Irr}(u, F^{\text{Aut}_K F}) \leq d$. \square .

Pf of Lem 1.5(B): Let $[J:H] = n$, suppose $[H':J'] \geq n+1$.

Pick $u_1, \dots, u_{n+1} \in H'$, which are lin. indep over J' .

Let $J = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tau_i H$, with $\tau_i \in J$.

Consider system of eqn:
$$\begin{cases} \tau_1(u_1)T_1 + \tau_2(u_2)T_2 + \dots + \tau_1(u_{n+1})T_{n+1} = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \tau_n(u_1)T_1 + \dots + \tau_n(u_{n+1})T_{n+1} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

This is $(n+1)$ -eqns with n variables

$\Rightarrow \exists$ nonzero solutions (in F)

choose a nonzero solution with minimum nonzero ekt.
 WLOG, $T_1 = a_1, \dots, T_r = a_r, a_i \neq 0, T_{r+1} = \dots = T_{n+1} = 0$
 WLOG, can make $a_1 = 1$.

Since $J = \perp\!\!\!\perp \tau_i H \Rightarrow$ only one $\tau_i \in H$, WLOG, $\tau_i \in H$

$$(i) \text{ of } \textcircled{*} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r u_i a_i = 0, \text{ with } u_i \in H', a_i \in H'$$

u_i are indep over $J' \Rightarrow \exists a_i, a_i \notin J'$

$a_1 = 1 \in J'$, WLOG, $a_2 \notin J', \Rightarrow \exists \sigma \in J, \sigma(a_2) \neq a_2$

Apply σ to $\textcircled{*}$, get $\sigma \tau_1(u_1) T_1 + \dots + \sigma \tau_1(u_{n+1}) T_{n+1} = 0$

$$\sigma(\textcircled{*}) = \begin{cases} \vdots \\ \sigma \tau_n(u_n) T_n + \dots + \sigma \tau_n(u_{n+1}) T_{n+1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow T_1 = \sigma(a_1) = 1, \dots, T_r = \sigma(a_r), T_{r+1} = \dots = T_{n+1} = 0$ is a solution

However, $J = \perp\!\!\!\perp \tau_i H = \perp\!\!\!\perp \sigma \tau_i H$

indeed, if $\tau_i H = \sigma \tau_j H$, then

(i) of $\textcircled{*} =$ (j) of $\sigma(\textcircled{*})$ (since H fixes u_i)

$\Rightarrow \textcircled{*}$ and $\sigma(\textcircled{*})$ are the SAME sys of eqns

i.e. $T_1 = \sigma(a_1) = 1, \dots, T_r = \sigma(a_r), T_{r+1} = \dots = T_{n+1} = 0$ is solution of $\textcircled{*}$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(a_1) - a_1 = 0, \sigma(a_2) - a_2 \neq 0, \dots, \sigma(a_r) - a_r, 0 \dots 0$$

is also a nonzero solution of $\textcircled{*}$,

contradicting minimality of r , \square

Defn: (1) $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, Say E is closed if $E'' = E$.
 (2) $H < \text{Aut}_K F$, Say H is closed if $H'' = H$.

Lem 1.6 $K \subseteq F$, Then \exists bijection:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \{ \text{closed interm. fields} \} \\ E \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \{ \text{closed subgps} < \text{Aut}_K F \} \\ E' \end{array} \right\}$$

Pf: inj: $E_1' = E_2' \Rightarrow E_1'' = E_2'' \Rightarrow E_1 = E_2$.

surj: given $H < \text{Aut}_K F$, $(H')' = H$. \square

Lem 1.7 $K \subseteq L \subseteq M \subseteq F$, $I < H < J < \text{Aut}_K F$.

(1) If L closed and $[M:L] < \infty$, then M is closed,
and $[L':M'] = [M:L]$

(2) If H closed and $[J:H] < \infty$, then J is closed,
and $[H':J'] = [J:H]$.

(3) If $[F:K] < \infty$, and F/K is Galois, then all interm. fields
are closed, and all subgps of $\text{Aut}_K F$ are closed.

Rmk ① Since $I < \text{Aut}_K F$ is closed, (2) \Rightarrow any finite J is closed

② In situation of (3), $|\text{Aut}_K F| = [F:K]$

Pf: (2): $[J:H] \leq [J'':H]$, since $J < J''$

$$= [J'':H''] \text{ , } H \text{ closed}$$

$$\leq [H':J'] \text{ Lem 1.5(A) for } M=H', L=J'$$

$$\leq [J:H] \text{ , Lem 1.6(B)}$$

\Rightarrow Equality holds everywhere.

(Careful. $[J'':H''] \leq [H':J']$. But also $[J'':H''] \geq [H''':J'''] = [H':J']$
So they are equal).

(1): Similar to (2)

(3): F/K Gal $\Rightarrow K$ is closed, (1) \Rightarrow all interm. fields are closed.

Rmk ① \Rightarrow all subgps are closed, \square

Pf of Main Thm, (fund thm of Galois thy). Part 1.

Indeed, Lem 1.7 above \Rightarrow Thm. 1.3 (1).

also $\Rightarrow K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, then F/E Gal.

since E closed $\Rightarrow E'' = E \Rightarrow F/E$ Gal.

□

So it remains to show: E/K Gal $\Leftrightarrow E' < \text{Aut}_K F$ is normal.

Defn $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$. Say E is stable (relative to F/K), if
 $\forall \sigma \in \text{Aut}_K F, \sigma(E) \subseteq E$.

Note, $\sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_K F \Rightarrow \sigma^{-1}(E) \subseteq E \Rightarrow \sigma|_E \in \text{Aut}_K E$.

Lem 1.8: $K \subseteq F$.

- (1) $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, E stable, Then $E' = \text{Aut}_E F < \text{Aut}_K F$ is normal.
 (2) If $H < \text{Aut}_K F$ normal, then H' is stable.

Pf: (1) Let $\tau \in \text{Aut}_E F, \sigma \in \text{Aut}_K F$ WANT: $\sigma^{-1} \tau \sigma \in \text{Aut}_E F$,
 i.e. fixes E .

Let $u \in E$. E stable $\Rightarrow \sigma(u) \in E$

$$\tau \text{ fixes } E \Rightarrow \tau(\sigma(u)) = \sigma(u)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^{-1} \tau \sigma(u) = u.$$

(2) Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K F, u \in H' \Leftrightarrow \forall \tau \in H, \tau(u) = u$.

WANT $\sigma(u) \in H' \Leftrightarrow \forall \tau \in H, \tau(\sigma(u)) = \sigma(u)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sigma^{-1} \tau \sigma(u) = u.$$

But $H \triangleleft \text{Aut}_K F \Rightarrow \sigma^{-1} \tau \sigma \in H$. □

Lem 1.9 $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, F/K Gal and E stable. Then E/K is Gal.

Pf: Recall E/K Gal $\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in E - K, \exists \sigma \in \text{Aut}_K E, \text{ s.t. } \sigma(u) \neq u$.
Since F/K Gal $\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}_K F, \text{ s.t. } \tilde{\sigma}(u) \neq u$.
So can take $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}|_E \in \text{Aut}_K E$ since E stable. \square

Lem 1.10 $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, E/K alg and Gal. Then E is stable.

Pf: Let $u \in E$, WANT: $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K F, \sigma(u) \in E$.
Let $\text{Irr}(u, K) = f(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n (T - u_i), u_1 = u$.

Wlog E , suppose u_1, \dots, u_r are all the distinct roots in E .
(note, a priori, u_i could be same, and not in E)

$$\text{Let } g(T) = \prod_{i=1}^r (T - u_i)$$

However, $\text{Aut}_K E$ permutes these $u_i, \Rightarrow \text{Aut}_K E$ fixes $g(T)$
 \Rightarrow coeff of $g(T)$, which $\in E$, are in $E^{\text{Aut}_K E} = K$ (since K/E Gal)
 $\Rightarrow g(T) \in K[T]$

Since $g(T) | f(T)$, and $g(u) = 0 \Rightarrow g(T) = f(T)$
 $\Rightarrow u_1, \dots, u_n$ are distinct, all in E .

Now, for $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K F, \sigma(u)$ is a root of $f(T)$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma(u) \in \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \subseteq E$.

\square

Defn $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, $\tau \in \text{Aut}_K E$ is called extendible to F if $\exists \sigma \in \text{Aut}_K F$, s.t. $\sigma|_E = \tau$.

Lem 1.11 $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, E stable. ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}_E F \triangleleft \text{Aut}_K F$).

Then $\text{Aut}_K F / \text{Aut}_E F$ is isom. to the gp of K -automorphisms of E that are extendible to F .

Pf .. The map $\text{Aut}_K F \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K E$, $\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_E$ is gp hom, $\text{Ker} = \text{Aut}_E F$, and $\text{Im} = \text{extendible elts}$.

□

Finally. Pf of Main Thm. Part 2

Recall: WANT to show, $K \subseteq E \subseteq F$, F/K Gal, $(F:K) < \infty$.

Then E/K Gal $\Leftrightarrow \text{Aut}_E F \triangleleft \text{Aut}_K F$.

And if so, then $\text{Aut}_K E \cong \text{Aut}_K F / \text{Aut}_E F$.

Pf " \Rightarrow " E/K Gal $\Rightarrow E$ stable, by Lem 1.10
 $\Rightarrow E/K$ Gal, by Lem 1.9

" \Leftarrow " $\text{Aut}_E F$ normal $\Rightarrow E$ stable, by (Lem 1.8 (2))

but all interm. ext are closed $\Rightarrow E = E''$ stable

$\Rightarrow E/K$ Gal, by Lem 1.9.

If E/K Gal, Lem 1.11 $\Rightarrow \text{Aut}_K F / \text{Aut}_E F \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_K E$.

But $\#(\text{LHS}) = [F:K] / [F:E] = [E:K] = \#(\text{RHS})$

\Rightarrow it is an isomorphism.

□