

Dependence Logic

Exercise 12

Note: The exercise class is postponed to Dec 13 due to Independence Day on Dec 6.

In these exercises, the letters u, v, w, x, y, z, \dots in serif font stand for arbitrary (possibly empty) sequences of first-order variables.

Exercise 1. Let $\alpha(x)$ be a first-order formula. Prove that

$$\sim \alpha(x) \equiv \exists v(v \subseteq x \wedge \neg \alpha(v/x)).$$

Exercise 2. Prove the following:

- (1) $\sim = (x, y) \equiv \exists u_0 v_0 u_1 v_1 (u_0 v_0 \subseteq xy \wedge u_1 v_1 \subseteq xy \wedge u_0 = u_1 \wedge v_0 \neq v_1)$,
- (2) $\sim x \subseteq y \equiv \exists^1 v (v \subseteq x \wedge v \neq y)$,

Exercise 3. Consider the following rule:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [y \subseteq x] \qquad [\neg \alpha(y)] \\ \vdots \\ D \\ \perp \end{array}}{\alpha(x/y)} \subseteq \text{Cmp}^\neg \quad (1)$$

(1) α is first-order, and y does not occur freely in any undischarged assumptions in D .

- (1) Show that the rule $\subseteq \text{Cmp}^\neg$ is sound in inclusion logic $\text{FO}(\subseteq)$.
- (2) Show that the rule $\sim \text{RAA}$ restricted to first-order formulas is derivable in the system of $\text{FO}(\subseteq)$ extended with the rule $\subseteq \text{Cmp}^\neg$, that is, show that $\Gamma, \sim \alpha \vdash \perp$ implies $\Gamma \vdash \alpha$, whenever α is first-order.

Exercise 4. Let \mathcal{D} be a set of upwards closed generalized dependencies, and let $\phi \in \text{FO}(\mathcal{D})$ be a formula that contains no first-order literals. Show that ϕ is upwards closed, i.e., for all structures \mathcal{M} and teams X, Y of \mathcal{M} :

$$\mathcal{M} \models_X \phi \text{ and } X \subseteq Y \implies \mathcal{M} \models_Y \phi.$$

Exercise 5. Consider the following upwards closed dependencies.

- Totality: $\mathcal{M} \models \text{All}(\vec{x})$ if and only if $|X[\vec{x}]| = |\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})|^{|\vec{x}|}$;

- Non-dependence: $\mathcal{M} \models_X \neq(\vec{x}, y)$ if and only if there exist $s, s' \in X$ with $s(\vec{x}) = s'(\vec{x})$ but $s(y) \neq s'(y)$.

Show that both totality and non-dependence are first-order definable.

Exercise 6. Let \mathcal{D} be a set of dependencies and let $\phi(\vec{x})$ be an $\text{FO}(=(\cdot), \mathcal{D})$ formula with free variables from $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Show that $\phi(\vec{x})$ is equivalent to some formula of the form

$$\exists \vec{y}(=(\vec{y}) \wedge \psi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})),$$

where $\psi \in \text{FO}(\mathcal{D})$, and \vec{x} and \vec{y} do not share any variables.

Exercise 7. Consider the following dependencies.

- Non-exclusion: $\mathcal{M} \models_X \vec{x} \uparrow \vec{y}$ if and only if there exist $s, s' \in X$ with $s(\vec{x}) = s'(\vec{y})$;
- Inconstancy: $\mathcal{M} \models_X \neq(x)$ if and only if $|X[x]| > 1$;
- Constancy: $\mathcal{M} \models_{=} (x)$ if and only if $|X[x]| = 1$.

Let x and y be two variables. Show that $x \uparrow y$ is equivalent to some formula $\psi \in \text{FO}(=(\cdot), \neq(\cdot))$. In the proof you may apply any results from the lecture notes. Note that $\text{FO}(=(\cdot), \neq(\cdot))$ is the extension of first-order logic with constancy and inconstancy atoms.