

Fourier Analysis II

Spring 2020

Homework 1

Exercise session: Mon 23 March; Stefanos Lappas, stefanos.lappas@helsinki.fi.

1. Compute the Fourier transform of the characteristic function $\chi_{[-a,a]}$ (here $a > 0$).

Proof. Let $f(x) = \chi_{[-a,a]}(x)$, $a > 0$ and $\xi \neq 0$. We compute

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-a}^a e^{-i\xi x} dx = \frac{e^{-i\xi a} - e^{i\xi a}}{-i\xi} = \frac{2 \sin(a\xi)}{\xi}.$$

Let $\xi = 0$. Then, we have

$$\widehat{f}(0) = \int_{-a}^a 1 dx = 2a.$$

Since \widehat{f} is continuous, observe that $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{2 \sin(a\xi)}{\xi} = 2a = \widehat{f}(0)$. Thus,

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{2 \sin(a\xi)}{\xi}, & \text{if } \xi \neq 0 \\ 2a, & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

□

2. (i) Compute the convolution $\chi_{[-a,a]} * \chi_{[-a,a]}$.
(ii) Compute the Fourier transform (in one dimension) of the function $g(x) = \max(0, 1 - |x|)$.

Proof. (i) A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{[-a,a]} * \chi_{[-a,a]}(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[-a,a]}(x-y) \chi_{[-a,a]}(y) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[x-a, x+a]}(y) \chi_{[-a,a]}(y) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[x-a, x+a] \cap [-a,a]}(y) dy \\ &= \begin{cases} 2a - x, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \in [x-a, a] \\ 2a + x, & \text{if } x < 0 \text{ and } y \in [-a, x+a] \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq 2a \end{cases} \\ &= \max(0, 2a - |x|). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By (i) for $a = 1/2$ we see that $g(x) = \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]} * \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}(x)$. Using Exercise 1 and the fact that the Fourier transform of the convolution is the product of the Fourier transforms, we get

$$\widehat{g}(\xi) = (\widehat{\chi}_{[-1/2, 1/2]}(\xi))^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{4 \sin^2(\xi/2)}{\xi^2}, & \text{if } \xi \neq 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

□

3. Compute the Fourier transform $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $f(x) := e^{-k|x|}$ (here $k > 0$) : show that

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{2k}{k^2 + \xi^2}.$$

Proof. This is a pretty straightforward computation

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi x} e^{-k|x|} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(i\xi+k)x} dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-(i\xi-k)x} dx \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{-(i\xi+k)} e^{-(i\xi+k)x} \right]_0^{\infty} + \left[\frac{1}{-(i\xi-k)} e^{-(i\xi-k)x} \right]_{-\infty}^0 \\ &= \frac{1}{i\xi+k} - \frac{1}{i\xi-k} \\ &= \frac{2k}{k^2 + \xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the facts that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(i\xi+k)x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-(i\xi-k)x} = 0,$$

following from $|e^{-(i\xi+k)x}| = e^{-kx}$ and $|e^{-(i\xi-k)x}| = e^{kx}$. □

4. (i) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$, show that $\widehat{g}(\xi) \equiv \widehat{f}(\xi)$.
(ii) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g(x) = \frac{1}{t^d} f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$, $t > 0$, show that $\widehat{g}(\xi) \equiv \widehat{f}(t\xi)$.

Proof. (i) We compute simply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{g}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi x} \overline{f(-x)} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi x} \overline{f(x)} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{e^{-i\xi x} f(x)} dx \\
&= \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi x} f(x) dx} \\
&= \widehat{f}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Using the change of variables $y = x/t = (x_1/t, x_2/t, \dots, x_n/t)$ whose Jacobian determinant is equal to $1/t^d$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{g}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi x} f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \frac{1}{t^d} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi t y} f(y) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-it\xi y} f(y) dy \\
&= \widehat{f}(t\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Suppose that the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has the form

$$f(x) = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2) \cdots f_d(x_d), \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^1)$. Show that then $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and we have

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1)\widehat{f}_2(\xi_2) \cdots \widehat{f}_d(\xi_d), \quad \forall \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. Applying Fubini's theorem for non-negative functions, we see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_1(x_1)| dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_2(x_2)| dx_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_d(x_d)| dx_d < \infty$. As $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can now apply Fubini's theorem and compute the Fourier transform. If $d > 1$, we can define a

function $g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by setting $g(x) = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_{d-1}(x_{d-1})$ and denote $\tilde{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{d-1})$. We may then compute

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-i\xi x} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g(y)f_d(x_d)e^{-i(\tilde{\xi}y + \xi_d x_d)} dy dx_d \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_d(x_d)e^{-i\xi_d x_d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g(y)e^{-i\tilde{\xi}y} dy \right) dx_d \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_d(x_d)e^{-i\xi_d x_d} \widehat{g}(\tilde{\xi}) dx_d \\ &= \widehat{f}_d(\xi_d)\widehat{g}(\tilde{\xi}).\end{aligned}$$

Now g is a function defined on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} so we can use induction to deduce

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1)\widehat{f}_2(\xi_2)\cdots\widehat{f}_d(\xi_d).$$

□

6. Assume that $H \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ fulfils $H \geq 0$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x)dx = 1$, together with

$$|H(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |x|)^{d+1}}.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ let us denote $H_\epsilon(x) := \epsilon^{-d}H(x/\epsilon)$. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuous at 0, prove that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)H_\epsilon(x)dx = f(0).$$

Proof. Let $\eta > 0$ be arbitrary. By continuity of f we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(0)| < \eta$ when $|x| < \delta$.

Now as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_\epsilon(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x)dx = 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)H_\epsilon(x)dx - f(0) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) - f(0))H_\epsilon(x)dx \right|.$$

Split the integral in three parts:

$$\int_{B(0,\delta)} (f(x) - f(0))H_\epsilon(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} f(x)H_\epsilon(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} f(0)H_\epsilon(x)dx.$$

Then in the first integral $|x| < \delta$, so $|f(x) - f(0)| < \eta$. Now we have

$$\left| \int_{B(0,\delta)} (f(x) - f(0))H_\epsilon(x)dx \right| \leq \int_{B(0,\delta)} \eta H_\epsilon(x)dx \leq \eta.$$

We can estimate the second integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} f(x)H_\epsilon(x)dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} f(x) \frac{H(x/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^d} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} |f(x)| \frac{C}{\epsilon^d(1+|x/\epsilon|)^{d+1}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} |f(x)| \frac{C}{(1+\delta/\epsilon)^{d+1}} \frac{1}{\epsilon^d} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} |f(x)| C \frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon+\delta)^{d+1}} dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} C \frac{\epsilon}{\delta^{d+1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the third integral, we can apply dominated convergence theorem to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} f(0)H_\epsilon(x)dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta)} f(0) \frac{H(x/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^d} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta/\epsilon)} f(0)H(x)dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\delta/\epsilon)} |f(0)|H(x)dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)H_\epsilon(x)dx - f(0) \right| \leq \eta.$$

As $\eta > 0$ was arbitrary, we have shown that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)H_\epsilon(x)dx = f(0).$$

□

7. Let $a > 0$. Check that the function $H(x) := c_a e^{-a|x|^2}$ with suitable constant c_a satisfies the conditions of the previous exercise. What is the value of c_a ?

Proof. As the real exponential function is always positive, we have that $H(x) \geq 0$ if $c_a \geq 0$.

For the property $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x) dx = 1$, we compute the integral of $e^{-a|x|^2}$ and then choose c_a appropriately. The integral is slightly modified Gaussian integral, so we use Fubini's theorem:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-a|x|^2} dx = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} dx \right)^d = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}^d.$$

This means that the integral of H is correct if we choose $c_a = \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{d/2}$.

It remains to prove for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the estimate

$$|H(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |x|)^{d+1}}.$$

For this, observe that it is sufficient to prove for any non-negative real x that

$$c_a e^{-ax^2} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + x)^{d+1}}.$$

Consider the function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(x) = (1 + x)^{d+1} e^{-ax^2}$. Using l'Hospital's rule, we see that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 0$. This means that there is a constant M such that $g(x) \leq 1$ for $x > M$. As g is continuous, it has a finite maximum in the interval $[0, M]$, and therefore g is a bounded function.

We have shown that H satisfies the conditions of the previous exercise with constant $c_a = \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{d/2}$. □

8. Prove Leibniz general rule for differentiation of products: if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ is an arbitrary multi-index and $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$\partial^\alpha (fg)(x) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\beta} g(x),$$

where $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} := \prod_{j=1}^d \binom{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}$.

Proof. We prove the claim by induction. The case $\alpha = 0$ is trivial. Assume that the claim holds for any $|\alpha| \leq n, n \geq 0$. Then if $|\alpha| = n + 1$, we may write $\alpha = \beta + e_i$ for some

$i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ($|\beta| = n$). Here e_i is a multi-index with $(e_i)_j = 1$ if $j = i$ and $(e_i)_j = 0$ otherwise. Now using induction hypothesis we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^\alpha(fg)(x) &= \partial^{e_i} \partial^\beta(fg)(x) = \partial^{e_i} \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\beta-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^{e_i} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\beta-\gamma} g(x) + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{e_i} \partial^{\beta-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^{\gamma+e_i} f(x) \partial^{\beta+e_i-(\gamma+e_i)} g(x) + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\beta+e_i-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta+e_i, \gamma_i \geq 1} \binom{\beta}{\gamma - e_i} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i \geq 1} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i = 0} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i \geq 1} \binom{\beta}{\gamma - e_i} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) + (\partial^\alpha f(x))g(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i \geq 1} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i = 0} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i \geq 1} \left(\binom{\beta}{\gamma - e_i} + \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \right) \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) + (\partial^\alpha f(x))g(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i = 0} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i \geq 1} \left(\binom{\beta_i}{\gamma_i - 1} + \binom{\beta_i}{\gamma_i} \right) \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{\beta_j}{\gamma_j} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&\quad + (\partial^\alpha f(x))g(x) + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i = 0} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i \geq 1} \binom{\alpha_i}{\gamma_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{\alpha_j}{\gamma_j} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&\quad + (\partial^\alpha f(x))g(x) + \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta, \gamma_i = 0} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x) + (\partial^\alpha f(x))g(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \partial^\gamma f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} g(x).$$

□