

Algebra II. Exercise 8.
Solutions.

1. a) Because $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, there exist integers b, c , such that $cm + bn = a$, see [Häsä-Rämö, Corollary 5.5, p. 80]. Now

$$\frac{a}{mn} = \frac{cm + bn}{mn} = \frac{cm}{mn} + \frac{bn}{mn} = \frac{b}{m} + \frac{c}{n}.$$

b) For example $a = 1, m = n = 2$. If we could have $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} = \frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{2}$, then $b + c = \frac{1}{2}$, which doesn't hold for any integers b, c .

c) Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Write

$$q = \frac{a}{p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_n^{k_n}},$$

where the denominator is presented as a product of its' prime factors (the numbers p_i are thus different prime numbers). We prove the claim by induction with respect to n :

$n = 1$: $q = \frac{a}{p_1^{k_1}}$, ok.

General case:

$$\frac{a}{p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_n^{k_n}} = \frac{a}{(p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}) \cdot p_n^{k_n}} = \frac{b}{p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}} + \frac{m_n}{p_n^{k_n}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{m_i}{p_i^{k_i}} + \frac{m_n}{p_n^{k_n}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{m_i}{p_i^{k_i}}.$$

In the second equality we used the result of item a); we could use it, because the numbers p_i are different prime numbers, and hence $\gcd(p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}, p_n^{k_n}) = 1$. In the third step we used the inductive assumption.

2. a) From the theory of groups, we know that $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a subgroup. If $a \in A$ and $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(ax) = f(a) \cdot f(x) = f(a) \cdot 0_B = 0_B$, and thus $ax \in \text{Ker}(f)$. Thus the ideal condition holds.

b) From the theory of groups, we know that $\text{Im}(f)$ is a subgroup. If $b_1, b_2 \in \text{Im}(f)$, then choose $a_1, a_2 \in A$, such that $f(a_1) = b_1$, $f(a_2) = b_2$. Now $f(a_1 a_2) = f(a_1) f(a_2) = b_1 b_2$, and thus $b_1 b_2 \in \text{Im}(f)$. Furthermore, by the definition of a ring homomorphism we have $f(1_A) = 1_B$, hence $1_B \in \text{Im}(f)$.

c) By item a) $\text{Ker}(f)$ is an ideal of the ring A , so we know that $A/\text{Ker}(f)$ is a ring equipped with the induced binary operations. By item b) $\text{Im}(f)$ is a ring. From the theory of groups we know that the map $\bar{f}, \bar{a} \mapsto f(a)$ is well defined and an isomorphism between groups. Furthermore, if $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \in A/\text{Ker}(f)$, then

$$\bar{f}(\bar{a}_1 \cdot \bar{a}_2) = \bar{f}(\overline{a_1 a_2}) = f(a_1 \cdot a_2) = f(a_1) \cdot f(a_2) = \bar{f}(\bar{a}_1) \cdot \bar{f}(\bar{a}_2),$$

thus \bar{f} is a homomorphism also with respect to multiplication. Because $\bar{f}(\bar{1}) = f(1_A) = 1_B$, we have that \bar{f} is a ring homomorphism. Thus \bar{f} is a ring isomorphism.

3. First we notice that since $d|m$, we have $m \in \langle d \rangle$. Similarly $n \in \langle d \rangle$. From this it follows that $\langle m, n \rangle \subset \langle d \rangle$ (because $\langle m, n \rangle$ is the smallest ideal containing m and n ; $\langle d \rangle$ is some ideal containing m and n).

By Bezout's theorem we know that d can be written as $d = xm + yn$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have that $d \in \langle m, n \rangle$, from which it follows that $\langle d \rangle \subset \langle m, n \rangle$.

4. a) We present the polynomials f and g as products of irreducible polynomials in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$:

$$f = (X^2 - 1)(X^2 + 1) = (X - 1)(X + 1)(X^2 + 1), \quad g = X(X^2 + 1).$$

We choose $h = X^2 + 1$ ($=\text{gcd}(f, g)$) and prove that $\langle h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$.

We notice that $f = (X^2 - 1) \cdot h$, and $g = X \cdot h$, thus $f \in \langle h \rangle$ and $g \in \langle h \rangle$, then also $\langle f, g \rangle \subset \langle h \rangle$ (because $\langle f, g \rangle$ is the smallest ideal containing the polynomials f and g ; $\langle h \rangle$ is some ideal containing the polynomials f and g). On the other hand, h can be represented using the polynomials f and g :

$$f = (X^2 - 1)h = X^2h - h = Xg - h,$$

thus

$$h = Xg - f \in \langle f, g \rangle,$$

and also $\langle h \rangle \subset \langle f, g \rangle$.

Hence $\langle h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$.

b) Let $a = [X]$, the class of the element X in the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]/\langle h \rangle$. Now $X^2 + 1 = h$, thus in the quotient ring we have

$$a^2 + 1 = [h] = 0,$$

that is, $a^2 = -1$.

5. Define $[\cdot] : \mathbb{Z}_n \times M \rightarrow M$ by the formula

$$(*) \quad [k].x = kx \quad (\text{multiple}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We prove that this is well defined: If $[k] = [k']$, then $k' = k + mn$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$k'.x = (k + nm)x = kx + n(mx) = kx,$$

because by assumption we have $n(mx) = 0$.

The conditions (M1)–(M4) follow from properties of the multiple:

- $[1].x = 1x = x$
- $([k_1] \cdot [k_2]).x = [k_1 k_2].x = (k_1 k_2)x = k_1(k_2 x) = [k_1].(k_2 x) = [k_1].([k_2].x)$
- $([k_1] + [k_2]).x = [k_1 + k_2].x = (k_1 + k_2)x = k_1 x + k_2 x = [k_1].x + [k_2].x$
- $[k].(x + y) = k(x + y) = kx + ky = [k].x + [k].y.$

Uniqueness: By property (M1) we have $[1].x = x$, thus by (M3) we have $[2].x = ([1] + [1]).x = [1].x + [1].x = x + x = 2x$ etc. Also $[-1].x = -x$, $[-2].x = -2x$ etc. Thus the formula (*) is the only possible linear action of \mathbb{Z}_n in an Abelian group M .

6. a) If $V = \{0\}$, it has \emptyset as a basis. Suppose now that the space V contains more than just the zero vector.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a chain in the set \mathcal{P} . We prove that this chain has the upper bound $A = \cup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{P}$. Clearly this union is an upper bound for the chain with respect to inclusion, thus it is sufficient to prove that it belongs to the set \mathcal{P} , that is, the union is a linearly independent set. Suppose that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ are different elements and the linear combination

$$x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_n a_n = 0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in K.$$

Now for every index $k = 1, \dots, n$ there exists an index $i_k \in I$, for which $a_k \in A_{i_k}$. Since the sets A_i form a chain, there exists an index i , such that $a_k \in A_i$ for every $k = 1, \dots, n$. Since the set A_i is linearly independent, it follows that all the coefficients $x_1, \dots, x_n = 0$. Thus A is linearly independent.

Clearly the collection \mathcal{P} is non-empty, since every subset containing just one vector ($\neq 0$) is linearly independent. It now follows from Zorn's lemma that the set \mathcal{P} has a maximal element, denote it by X .

We now prove that the set X spans the whole space V . Antithesis 1: There exists a vector $v \in V$, $v \notin \text{span}(X)$. We prove that then the set $X \cup \{v\}$ would be linearly independent:

Antithesis 2: There exists a linear combination of the set $X \cup \{v\}$, where all the coefficients are not zero, but the linear combination is zero. The vector v has to be in this linear combination, since in the set X such linear combinations don't exist (because X is a linearly independent set). Thus there exists a linear combination

$$r_0 v + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i = 0$$

with a non-zero coefficient r_i . The coefficient r_0 must be $\neq 0$, because otherwise some non-trivial linear combination of the set X would be 0. Now

$$(*) \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{r_i}{r_0} x_i \in \text{span}(X),$$

which is a contradiction, since $v \notin \text{span}(X)$. From this it follows that antithesis 2 doesn't hold, and thus the set $X \cup \{v\}$ is linearly independent. However, this contradicts the maximality of X . Thus antithesis 1 is false, and we have that X spans the space V .

Thus we found a linearly independent set which spans the vector space, that is, a basis.

b) The detail (*) does not work.