

**Algebra II. Exercise 9.**  
**Solutions.**

1. Consider the map  $f: R[X] \rightarrow R$ ,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i\right) = a_0.$$

If  $P, Q \in R[X]$ , then the constant term of  $P + Q$  is the sum of the constant terms of  $P$  and  $Q$ , and the constant term of  $P \cdot Q$  is the product of the constant terms of  $P$  and  $Q$ . Also we have that  $f(1) = 1$ . Thus  $f$  is a ring homomorphism, and we may apply the homomorphism theorem (Exercise 2 last week). Since  $\langle X \rangle$  consists of exactly those polynomials with constant term 0, that is,  $\text{Ker}(f) = \langle X \rangle$  and clearly  $\text{Im}(f) = R$ , we immediately get that

$$R[X]/\langle X \rangle \cong R.$$

2. Let  $X$  be a linearly independent subset of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Antithesis: there exist  $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d} \in X$ ,  $\frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{c}{d}$ . Thus  $b, d \neq 0$ . Also  $a \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ , since 0 cannot belong to a linearly independent set (the linear combination  $1 \cdot 0 = 0$ , the coefficient  $1 \neq 0$ ). Now

$$cb \cdot \frac{a}{b} - ad \cdot \frac{c}{d} = ac - ac = 0,$$

that is, a linear combination of the elements  $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  is 0, and the coefficients  $cb$  and  $ad$  are  $\neq 0$ . This is a contradiction with the assumption that  $X$  is a linearly independent set.

Thus a linearly independent set cannot contain more than one element.

If the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Q}$  had a basis, then by the above, it would contain only one element, that is,  $\mathbb{Q}$  would be generated by just one element, say  $\frac{p}{q}$ . Now

$$\left\langle \frac{p}{q} \right\rangle = \left\{ n \cdot \frac{p}{q} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Since  $\frac{p}{2q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there should then exist  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $\frac{p}{2q} = n \cdot \frac{p}{q}$ , that is,  $n = \frac{1}{2}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Q}$  doesn't have a basis.

3. a) Suppose that  $M$  is an  $R/A$ -module. Define an action of the ring  $R$  in the group  $M$  by the formula

$$r.m = (r + A).m, \quad r \in R, \quad m \in M.$$

We check that the conditions (M1)–(M4) are satisfied:

- $1.x = (1 + A).x = x$ , since  $1 + A$  is the unit element of the ring  $R/A$ .
- $(ab).x = (ab + A).x = ((a + A)(b + A)).x = (a + A).((b + A).x) = (a + A).(b.x) = a.(b.x)$ .
- $(a+b).x = ((a+b) + A).x = ((a + A) + (b + A)).x = (a + A).x + (b + A).x = a.x + b.x$ .
- $a.(x + y) = (a + A).(x + y) = (a + A).x + (a + A).y = a.x + a.y$ .

Thus  $M$  is an  $R$ -module.

For example  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module (the action being the ordinary multiplication). If  $\mathbb{Q}$  were a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -module, then

$$0 = [0].q = [n].q = ([1] + \dots + [1]).q = [1].q + \dots + [1].q = q + \dots + q = nq$$

for every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus we would have  $nq = 0$  for every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -module.

b) If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $S \subset R$ , we may define the action of  $S$  to be the restriction of the action of  $R$ . The conditions (M1)–(M4) clearly hold for this action of  $S$ , since they hold for the action of  $R$ .

For example  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, the action being the ordinary multiplication. If  $\mathbb{Z}$  were a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module, then

$$1 = 1.1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right).1 = \frac{1}{2}.1 + \frac{1}{2}.1,$$

where we should have  $\frac{1}{2}.1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is a contradiction, since  $x + x = 1$  doesn't hold for any integer  $x$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module.

4. Define

$$\theta(m) = (\varphi_i(m))_{i \in I} \in \prod_i N_i.$$

The definition is ok, since the  $i$ th coordinate of the image element is  $\varphi_i(m) \in N_i$ . Furthermore the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & N_i \\ & \searrow \theta & \nearrow \pi_i \\ & \prod_{i \in I} N_i & \end{array}$$

commutes.

We prove that the map is  $R$ -linear:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(m_1 + m_2) &= (\varphi_i(m_1 + m_2))_{i \in I} = (\varphi_i(m_1) + \varphi_i(m_2))_{i \in I} \\ &= (\varphi_i(m_1))_{i \in I} + (\varphi_i(m_2))_{i \in I} = \theta(m_1) + \theta(m_2) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . In the second step we used the linearity of the maps  $\varphi_i$  and in the third step we used the definition of the binary operation of the product module. Furthermore

$$\theta(a.m) = (\varphi_i(a.m))_{i \in I} = (a.\varphi_i(m))_{i \in I} = a.(\varphi_i(m))_{i \in I} = a.\theta(m)$$

for every  $a \in R, m \in M$ . In the second step we used the linearity of the maps  $\varphi_i$  and in the third step we used the definition of the action of  $R$  in the product module.

Uniqueness: because we want that  $\pi_i(\theta(m)) = \varphi_i(m)$ , then the  $i$ th coordinate of  $\theta(m)$  must be  $\varphi_i(m)$ , and thus  $\theta(m) = (\varphi_i(m))_{i \in I}$ .

5. Let  $b \in B$ . Clearly  $(f \circ g)(b) \in \text{Im}(f)$ . We also notice that  $b - (f \circ g)(b) \in \text{Ker}(g)$ , because

$$g(b - (f \circ g)(b)) = g(b) - (g \circ f \circ g)(b) = g(b) - g(b) = 0.$$

In the second step we used the assumption  $g \circ f = \text{id}_A$ .

We now prove that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: B &\rightarrow \text{Im}(f) \oplus \text{Ker}(g) \\ b &\mapsto ((f \circ g)(b), b - (f \circ g)(b)) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. We first check that  $\varphi$  is  $R$ -linear; this follows directly from the assumption that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $R$ -linear (in which case also  $f \circ g$  is), the definition of direct sum and the linearity of the action:

$$\varphi(b + b') = ((f \circ g)(b + b'), b + b' - (f \circ g)(b + b'))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ((f \circ g)(b) + (f \circ g)(b'), b + b' - (f \circ g)(b) - (f \circ g)(b')) \\
&= ((f \circ g)(b), b - (f \circ g)(b)) + ((f \circ g)(b'), b' - (f \circ g)(b')) = \varphi(b) + \varphi(b')
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(r.b) &= ((f \circ g)(r.b), r.b - (f \circ g)(r.b)) = (r.(f \circ g)(b), r.b - r.(f \circ g)(b)) \\
&= (r.(f \circ g)(b), r.(b - (f \circ g)(b))) = r.((f \circ g)(b), b - (f \circ g)(b)) = r.\varphi(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that  $\varphi$  is injective: If

$$((f \circ g)(b), b - (f \circ g)(b)) = ((f \circ g)(b'), b' - (f \circ g)(b')),$$

then by looking at the first coordinates we get  $(f \circ g)(b) = (f \circ g)(b')$ , and then by looking at the second coordinates we get  $b = b'$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is injective.

Finally we prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective: Let  $(b_1, b_2) \in \text{Im}(f) \oplus \text{Ker}(g)$ . Thus  $b_1 = f(a_1)$  for some  $a_1 \in A$  and  $g(b_2) = 0$ . Choose  $b = b_1 + b_2 \in B$ . We notice that

$$(f \circ g)(b_1 + b_2) = (f \circ g)(b_1) + (f \circ g)(b_2) = (f \circ g \circ f)(a_1) + 0 = f(a_1) = b_1,$$

hence

$$\varphi(b) = ((f \circ g)(b_1 + b_2), b_1 + b_2 - (f \circ g)(b_1 + b_2)) = (b_1, b_1 + b_2 - b_1) = (b_1, b_2).$$

This proves surjectivity.

6. Choose  $R = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{R}$  and  $N_i = \mathbb{R}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\varphi_i = \text{id}: M \rightarrow N_i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote the projections by  $\pi_i: \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i \rightarrow N_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We try to define a function  $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\pi_i \circ \theta = \text{id}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Consider for example the element  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We notice that from the condition  $\pi_i \circ \theta = \text{id}$  it follows that we should have  $\theta(1) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ . This element belongs to the direct product, but not to the direct sum (in the direct sum only finitely many coordinates can be  $\neq 0$ ). Thus such a function  $\theta$  doesn't exist.