

Fourier Analysis II

Spring 2020

Homework 4

Exercise session: Thu 16 April; Stefanos Lappas, stefanos.lappas@helsinki.fi.

1. Assume that the sequence of measurable functions f_n is uniformly bounded, i.e. $|f_n(x)| \leq C$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $n \geq 1$, and it converges at almost every point:

$$\lim f_n(x) = g(x) \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Show that the $f_n \rightarrow g$ in the sense of distributions.

Proof. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_n(x)\varphi(x)|dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C|\varphi(x)|dx < \infty,$$

so we can use the dominated convergence theorem to see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_n(x)\varphi(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)\varphi(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\varphi(x)dx = \langle g, \varphi \rangle.$$

This shows that $f_n \rightarrow g$ in the sense of distributions. □

2. Is the function $x^2 \sin(x)$ the Fourier transform of a distribution? If so, determine the distribution.

Proof. The claim is true. We may compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^2 \sin(x), g \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \sin(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2i}(e^{ix} - e^{-ix})x^2g(x)dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \left(\widehat{(x^2g)}(-1) - \widehat{(x^2g)}(1) \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{d^2\widehat{g}}{dx^2}(-1) - \frac{d^2\widehat{g}}{dx^2}(1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{i}{2} \langle \delta''_{-1} - \delta''_1, \widehat{g} \rangle \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \left\langle \widehat{(\delta''_{-1} - \delta''_1)}, g \right\rangle, \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $x^2 \sin(x) = \widehat{T}$, where $T := \frac{i}{2}(\delta''_{-1} - \delta''_1)$. Here of course δ is the Dirac delta distribution. \square

- 3. (i)** Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Show that in the metric of the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ it holds that $f_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow f'(x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, where $f_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-1}(f(x + \varepsilon) - f(x))$.
- (ii)** Use part (i) to verify that in a similar manner for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(f(x + \varepsilon) - f(x)) \rightarrow \frac{d}{dx}f \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\frac{d}{dx}f$ is the derivative of f in the sense of distributions.

Proof. (i) Fix N , and note that

$$p_N(f_\varepsilon - f') = \sup_{n \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|^2)^N \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f^{(n)}(x + \varepsilon) - f^{(n)}(x)) - f^{(n+1)}(x) \right|.$$

We now estimate the expression inside. By the mean value theorem there exists $y \in [x, x + \varepsilon]$ so that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f^{(n)}(x + \varepsilon) - f^{(n)}(x)) = f^{(n+1)}(y).$$

Similarly there exists $z \in [x, y]$ such that

$$f^{(n+1)}(y) - f^{(n+1)}(x) = f^{(n+2)}(z)(y - x).$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f^{(n)}(x + \varepsilon) - f^{(n)}(x)) - f^{(n+1)}(x) \right| = |f^{(n+2)}(z)| |y - x| \leq \varepsilon |f^{(n+2)}(z)|.$$

Note also that since $|z - x| \leq \varepsilon$, we have for sufficiently small ε that

$$(1 + |x|^2)^N \leq 2^N (1 + |z|^2)^N.$$

The exact value of the constant 2^N here doesn't really matter, but the proof of this estimate can be done as follows:

$$|x| \leq |z| + \varepsilon \Rightarrow |x|^2 \leq |z|^2 + 2\varepsilon|z| + \varepsilon \Rightarrow 1 + |x|^2 \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon + (1 + \varepsilon)|z|^2 \leq 2(1 + |z|^2).$$

By combining everything we finally get that

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(f_\varepsilon - f') &\leq \sup_{n \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} 2^N (1 + |z|^2)^N \varepsilon |f^{(n+2)}(z)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon 2^N p_{N+2}(f). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f'$ in the topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_\varepsilon, g \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f(x + \varepsilon) - f(x)) g(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (g(x - \varepsilon) - g(x)) f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{-\varepsilon}(x) f(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used change of variables. Applying part (i) for the function $h(x) := g(-x)$ we have that the functions $g_{-\varepsilon}$ converge uniformly to $-g'$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ so we can use dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle f_\varepsilon, g \rangle = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'(x) f(x) dx = -\langle f, g' \rangle = \langle f', g \rangle.$$

This shows that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\varepsilon = f'$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. □

4. (i) Show that $\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}T, g \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle$ for all $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(ii) Verify that $\mathcal{F}^4 \lambda = (2\pi)^{2d} \lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. (i) Let us show that defining the inverse Fourier transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} on \mathcal{S}' by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}T, g \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle, \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

actually gives an inverse of the Fourier transform. This is easily seen since

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{T}, g \rangle = \langle \widehat{T}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle = \langle T, g \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}T, g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}T, \widehat{g} \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{g} \rangle = \langle T, g \rangle.$$

(ii) Recall that for any $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $(\mathcal{F}^2 g)(x) = (2\pi)^d g(-x)$, which implies that $(\mathcal{F}^4 g)(x) = (2\pi)^{2d} g(x)$. Now we simply compute

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^4 \lambda, g \rangle = \langle \lambda, \mathcal{F}^4 g \rangle = \langle \lambda, (2\pi)^{2d} g \rangle = \langle (2\pi)^{2d} \lambda, g \rangle.$$

□

5. Let $K \in L^1$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x) dx = 1$ and set $K_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-d} K(x/\varepsilon)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Prove that in the sense of distributions

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} K_\varepsilon = \delta_0.$$

Proof. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta > 0$. As φ is continuous, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| < \eta$ whenever $|x| < \delta$.

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle K_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle - \langle \delta_0, \varphi \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_\varepsilon(x) \varphi(x) dx - \varphi(0) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_\varepsilon(x) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|x| < \delta} |K_\varepsilon(x)| |\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| dx + \int_{|x| \geq \delta} |K_\varepsilon(x)| |\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| dx \\ &\leq \eta \int_{|x| < \delta} |K_\varepsilon(x)| dx + 2p_0(\varphi) \int_{|x| \geq \delta} |K_\varepsilon(x)| dx \\ &\leq \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(x)| dx + 2p_0(\varphi) \int_{|x| \geq \delta/\varepsilon} |K(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the change of variables. The first term is bounded by $\eta \|K\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and the second term converges to zero by the dominated convergence theorem. This means that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} |\langle K_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle - \langle \delta_0, \varphi \rangle| \leq \eta \|K\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

As η was arbitrary, the claim follows. □

6. Show that $f(x) = \log|x| \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ and that the distributional derivative of f is

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\log|x|) = \mathbf{p.v.} \frac{1}{x}$$

Proof. The function $\log|x|$ is L^1 -integrable around $x = 0$ and grows slower than a polynomial as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. This easily shows that it defines a tempered distribution on \mathbb{R} . We now compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{d}{dx} \log|x|, g \right\rangle &= - \left\langle \log|x|, \frac{d}{dx} g \right\rangle \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log|x| g'(x) dx \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^0 \log(-x) g'(x) dx - \int_0^{\infty} \log(x) g'(x) dx \\
&= - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-1/\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} \log(-x) g'(x) dx - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1/\varepsilon} \log(x) g'(x) dx \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (-\log(\varepsilon)g(-\varepsilon) + \log(1/\varepsilon)g(-1/\varepsilon) - \log(1/\varepsilon)g(1/\varepsilon) + \log(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon)) \\
&\quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-1/\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} g(x) dx + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1/\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} g(x) dx \\
&= \left\langle \mathbf{p.v.} \frac{1}{x}, g \right\rangle, \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used integration by parts here, and dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \log(-x) g'(x) dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-1/\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} \log(-x) g'(x) dx$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \log(x) g'(x) dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1/\varepsilon} \log(x) g'(x) dx.$$

Additionally, since g is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we were able to conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \log(1/\varepsilon)g(-1/\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \log(1/\varepsilon)g(1/\varepsilon) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\log(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon) - \log(\varepsilon)g(-\varepsilon)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log(\varepsilon) \frac{g(\varepsilon) - g(-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Thus $\frac{d}{dx}(\log|x|) = \mathbf{p.v.} \frac{1}{x}$. □

7. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Determine the Fourier transform of the distribution λ , where

$$\langle \lambda, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(u) g(u, 0) du \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Proof. Using the definition of the Fourier transform for distributions and Fubini's theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \widehat{\lambda}, g \rangle &= \langle \lambda, \widehat{g} \rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(u) \widehat{g}(u, 0) du \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-iux} g(x, y) dx dy du \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(u) e^{-iux} du dx dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x, y) \widehat{\psi}(x) dx dy, \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $\widehat{\lambda}$ is a distribution with

$$\widehat{\lambda}(x, y) = \widehat{\psi}(x).$$

□

8. (i) Define $h(x) := \int_0^x \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$. Show that $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function.

(ii) Determine $A := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$ by observing that formally

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt = 2\pi \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)(0),$$

and trying to make this somehow rigorous.

Proof. (i) First of all, we can extend the function h by continuity at zero, i.e., $h(0) = 1$. We see that h has extrema at points $x = n\pi$ for any positive integer n . Now, consider the sequence

$$a_n = h(n\pi) - h((n-1)\pi).$$

Then,

$$|a_n| = \left| \int_0^{n\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt - \int_0^{(n-1)\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| \leq \left| \int_{(n-1)\pi}^{n\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| \leq \frac{n\pi - (n-1)\pi}{(n-1)\pi} = \frac{1}{n-1},$$

so $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We also see that the sequence a_n is decreasing:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n - a_{n+1} &= \int_0^{n\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt - \int_0^{(n-1)\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt - \left(\int_0^{(n+1)\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt - \int_0^{n\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right) \\ &= \int_{(n-1)\pi}^{n\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt - \int_{n\pi}^{(n+1)\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \\ &= \int_0^\pi \sin y \left(\frac{1}{n\pi - \pi + y} - \frac{1}{n\pi + y} \right) dy > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used change of variables for both of the integrals.

We therefore know that there exists a limit of extreme values $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$, so the function has a limit at infinity and is therefore bounded.

(ii) Let the Heaviside step function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

By exercise 1 of exercise set 1 we have that:

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{2 \sin(\xi)}{\xi}, & \text{if } \xi \neq 0 \\ 2, & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$f(0) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \sin x}{x} \right) (0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin \xi}{\xi} d\xi.$$

Since $f(0) = 1$ and the function $\frac{\sin(\xi)}{\xi}$ is even over the symmetric interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, we conclude that

$$A = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin \xi}{\xi} d\xi = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

□